

























# January 20, 2021 - TSC Meeting

## Participants

Find a participant		
JA	Jonas Arndt (Me)	 
LI	Louis I (LFN) (Host)	 
AV	Alexander Vul	 
BM	Bob Monkman	 
MN	Marcin Nicpon(TietoEVERY)	 
SB	S Bowen	 
JM	Jon Mechling (HPE)	 
JJ	Joseprabu Inbaraj	 
MH	Martin Halstead	 
MI	Muthu (AMI)	 
PZ	Piotr Zedlewski (Intel)	 
RS	Rafal Szejna	 

## Notes

### Composition Service Conclusions after meeting with DMTF

Some initial thoughts around the composition discussion from this morning.  
Alex felt that there were things missing in the composition.

### Release Process

#### Maintenance release

Alex input – avoid because it requires resources, same resources as developing the next major release.

Jonas – defects get logged, fix them, maintenance release gets them into a release branch and then released into the main branch.

#### Vote on Release process

- 1) 2 main releases only
- 2) 3 main releases only
- 3) 2 main releases and 2 maintenance releases

#### Vote outcome:

HPE: 3  
AMI: 1  
Intel: 2  
TietoEvery: 2

Option 2 won and we agreed on 3 releases a year without any maintenance release.

#### Recording

#### Chat File and vote on Release Process